Exhibit F Public Comment Matrix

Public Comment Mate	rix - 2020-2021 Shoreline N	Naster Program Update - 30-day Public Comment Period				
T ubite comment wat	Public Comment or	naster Frogram opaute 50 day rabile comment remod				
	Agency Comment or					
Name	Planning Commission	Comment Summary	How Submitted	When Submitted	Change Made?	Reasoning/Action Taken
Joan Schrammeck	Public	Has concerns about Sea Level Rise (SLR). In particular, concerns about how SLR in the Maple Grove community of Camano Island will interact with the currently failing septic systems and affect the shoreline environment. Suggested that septic failures should be a trigger for a monitoring program.		4/12/2021 - email 4/12/2021 - Public Comment Hearing	No change	A new monitoring program was recommended by Herrera Environmental for mapping signs of sea level rise throughout the County. This program is not proposed to be included in the shoreline development regulations in Island county code. Data collection could be conducted by Island County staff using a phone-based application called Survey-1-2-3 (created by ESRI), in which users identify the location, type of sea level rise impact (e.g. coastal erosion (wavescour), coastal flooding, and coastal landslides), then take a photo. The observations would be prompted with data entry fields and drop down menus to guide the observer, enable consistency, and efficiency. Data points populate a geodatabase and associated webmaps that can be viewed and analyzed by multiple users within the County. This tool could help to identify areas with the County that are increasingly vulnerable to sea level rise impacts and document the frequency at which these impacts occur. One of the impacts that could be documented through this survey method could include septic failures due to flooding.
Barbara Bennett	Public	Concerned that the state-mandated eight (8) year periodic SMP review is insufficient to adapt to rapidly changing conditions. Suggest Island County conduct a mid-cycle review of SMP during the next cycle to consider the following items: public access, resilience in the face of sea level rise, and stewardship.	Email and Public Comment Hearing	4/10/2021 - email 4/12/2021 - Public Comment Hearing	No change	No code change necessary. Review and update of the SMP can occur as often as once per year as part of the annual review docket. Staff would note though that such updates are staff and resource intensive. State mandated periodic updates are suually accompanied with grant funding.
11 II T 1	D. I.I.	C. Ideal B. L. GOMPG. Library and E. W. LOLL.	F 1 10 11	4/12/2021	Nilas allestadores	
Holly Towle	Public	Concerned that there wasn't a draft SMP for public review until recently. Emailed 9 basic concerns that would add balance and avoid past mistakes.	Email and Public Comment Hearing	4/12/2021 - email 4/12/2021 - Public Comment Hearing	No change	A draft of the proposed code changes was posted to the website March 2nd and an updated version was posted March 26th for the 30-day public comment period.
Holly Towle		Concern regarding the Natural shoreline environment designation applied to surfcrest			No change	Those properties in the Surfcrest development which were left with a Natural Shoreline Environment Designation are currently undeveloped
		properties during 2016 periodic update. Some of the properties were subsequently			200	and are entirely encumbered by wetlands and their buffers. ICC 17.05A.060.E the purpose of the Natural Shoreline Environment is to preserve,
		corrected to a designation of Rural Conservancy, but not all parcel were changed.				protect, and restore areas that are relatively free of human influence or that include minimally degraded natural features and resources. One of
						the specific designation criteria includes, areas of shoreline-associated wetlands with generally intact buffers.
Holly Towle		Question regarding postcards being sent for this update			No change	Staff consulted with the Board of County Commissioners on appropriate outreach for this update and was provided direction to conduct
						community presentations with local organizations who can spread the information, rather than send postcards.
Holly Towle		Question regarding the notice provided for the 2016 update of the SMP			No change	A public participation plan was created in 2010 and public outreach extended through 2016 to adoption as well as workshops after adoption.
Holly Towle		Concern that softshore stabilization is always prefered over hard stabilization methods			No change	ICC 17.05A.110.A.b provides for an alternatives analysis which prioritizes softer methods of stabilization. However, the applicant can utilize harder methods where it is demonstrated to be necessary. This may include high-energy shoreline environments.
Holly Towle		Concerns that 17.05A.090.F.5 provides mitigation credit for removal of shoreline			No change	Stabilization necessary for enhancement and restoration projects addressed elsewhere in the code.
, ,		stabilization but does not address stabilization that may protect the environment			, re enange	The second secon
Holly Towle		Concern that 17.05A.110.A.2 Summary Table provides too narrow a view of replacement stabilization			No change	Projects that do not qualify as "replacement" may still be allowed under the provisions of new stabilization.
Holly Towle		Recommendation that definition of new stabilization be moved out of 17.05A.110.A.4.a to the definition section			Change proposed	New definition added for "Structural shoreline stabilization, new" to section 070 and removed from 110.A.4
Holly Towle		Concerns about proposed changes to 17.05A.170			No change	This section will add clarity in any unforseen instances of conflict and is a standard provision for code construction.
Holly Towle		Concerns about language in 17.05A.090.E.1.a.iii leading to development of homes too close to heavily trafficked roads and causing safety issues.			No change	Road setbacks are addressed in 17.03.180.S and have larger setbacks for higher speed and traffic road types.
Holly Towle		Suggested addition of the word "materially" in multiple sections under 17.05A.140.B.4-8 to provide more flexibility			No change	By adding this section, more flexibility was added than is provided under current code.
Holly Towle		Suggested addition of the language, "does not increase the number of lots" to		1	No change	Boundary line adjustments that provide for buildable area outside critical areas and buffers would be allowable under this code section. In
Holly Towle		17.05A.090.A.20 to allow for boundary line adjustments.			No change	order to be processed as a boundary line adjustment, additional lots cannot be created. Where additional lots are created, this would be processed as a subdivision.
Holly Towle		Concern about language in ICC 17.05A.090.G for buffer enhancment and plantings			No change	The recommended planting season is between October and March to allow for newly transplated plants to adapt and grow during the wet
		requirements conflicting with restrictions on water useage during certain seasons.				season. Native plants which are more hardy to droughts can be allowable based on site-specific conditions.
Holly Towle		Suggestion that the provisions of 17.05A.090.F be applied to more than just canal			No change	Canal communities are communities which existed prior to the shoreline management act and are highly modified with limited environmental
		communities				benefit. As such, more flexibility for development proposals is provided.
	-	1				1

	Public Comment or					
	Agency Comment or					
Name	Planning Commission	Comment Summary	How Submitted	When Submitted	Change Made?	Reasoning/Action Taken
Holly Towle		Edits suggested to ICC 17.05A.110.C.11 to reference Island County's noxious weed list and allow for an easier process for weed removal			Change proposed	Reference to Island County's local noxious weed list added. ICC section reference corrected. No change to processes for weed removal proposed. Even weeds provide some habitat, stabilization, and storm water mitigation value. Keeping weeds in place is preferred to removing and not replanting. Motorized equipment can distrub shoreline ecological and geocoastal processes, review of proposals for utilizing such equipment is required.
Holly Towle		Concern about language in 17.05A.090.H.8 restricting residential development in the floodplain			Change proposed	New definition added to ICC 17.05A.070 for flood control works, to clarify that these do not include homes build to FEMA floodplain standards. Flood control works means structural techniques for area-wide flood control, including but not limited to berms, rock rip-raps, sandbags, application of soil cements to slopes, drainage channels, levees, dikes, dams, and retention or detention basins. Raising single-family residential structures above base flood elevation is not considered flood control works.
Holly Towle		Concern about language in 17.05A.090.E.1.d.viii not allowing for reasonable use of some properties.			No change	The process for a Shoreline Variance is provided to allow for applicants which cannot obtain reasonable economic use of their property under the provisions of this ordinance.
Holly Towle		Concern about language in 17.05A.090.A.4.b hindering views for some property owners.			No change	This is a narrow provision intended to provide flexibility for existing residences to come into compliance with FEMA flood regulations, where such complaince would result in their development exceeding the normal height requirement. If an existing home would exceed 35ft feet in height by raising the home to meet base flood elevation, this could be allowed despite the normal height limit being 35ft. However, such an exception would not be allowed if impacting views of other properties.
Holly Towle		Concern about language in 17.05A.110.A.4.e.v. regarding cost of reports for applicants.			No change	Applicants must assess the cost of their development proposals and are encouraged to get multiple quotes from a variety of professionals. This section limits the assessments to those which are sufficient to document impacts. The county cannot accept a report which insufficiently addresses impacts.
Holly Towle		Concern about language in 17.05A.090.E.1.d.vi, 17.05A.090.E.1.e.i.2, and 17.05A.090.E.1.iii.2 requiring the consolidation of lots under common ownership before allowing expansion of an existing structure in the setback			Change proposed	Provision removed. Holds owners of multiple adjacent properties to a different standard.
Holly Towle		Concerns about language in 17.05A.090.C.14.d.ii not allowing the waiver of a BSA for replacement stabilization.			No change	Negative environmental impacts from hard shoreline stabilization are well documented. Hard shoreline stabilization can be allowed where necessary, but impacts must be assessed.
Holly Towle		Concerns about language in 17.05A.110.A.1 being overly restrictive and conflicting with RCW 90.58.100.6			No change	RCW 90.58.100.6 states that the standards shall provide a preference for permit issuance for measures where the proposed measure is designed to minimize harm to the shoreline natural environment. No net loss of shoreline ecological functions and values is required by the Shoreline Management Act.
Holly Towle		Edits suggested to ICC 17.05A.110.A.3.e.ii to add the word, "adverse"			Change proposed	Term adverse added to ICC 17.05A.110.A.3.e.ii
Holly Towle		Edits suggested to ICC 17.05A3.e.iii			No change	Adverse impacts to other properties cannot be allowed. This would not be based on adjacent property owners opinions but on a professional analysis of potential impacts.
Holly Towle		Edits suggested to ICC 17.05A.110.A.8.I			Change proposed	Term, "as feasible" added and provision limited extension onto adjacent properties removed.
Holly Towle		Edits suggested to 17.05A.110.A.1.b to add, "including for dunes and trees described in a permit for structural shoreline stabilization"			No change	Natural vegetation and dunes need to be able to naturally respond and adapt to rising sea levels. Protecting dunes and vegetation with structural stabilization disconnects the nearshore environment from the marine waters causing environmental detriment and is not consistent with the provisions of the shoreline mangement act.
Holly Towle		Edits suggested to 17.05A.110.A.1.b, A.1.d, A.3.e, and A.6 to provide for circumstances where shoreline stabilization can impact adjacent property owners			No change	Already addressed in existing code. 17.05A.110.A.1.d uses the term, "significant impacts" and A.6 uses the term, "increased".
Holly Towle		Edits suggested to 17.05A.110.A.1.i to allow stabilization on vacant parcels			No change	Already addressed by proposed section 17.05A.110.A.1.i
Holly Towle		Edits suggested to 17.05.110.A.1.5 to ensure replacement of stabilization is allowed for single family residences			No change	Already addressed in this section. Term, "principal uses or structures" used.
Holly Towle		Concerns about 17.05A.110.A.4.b. prohibiting structural stabilization on lakes			No change	Lakes do not have the same kind of wave action and erosion risk as marine shorelines. The lake shore is not as dynamic. Replacement and repair of existing stabilization could be allowed in some instances but new stabilization would not.
Holly Towle		Edits suggested to 17.05A.110.A.3.a broadening stabilization use for restoration, preservation, and enhancement of ecological functions.			Change proposed	Phrase, "a material purpose of which includes" to 17.05A.110.A.3.a.

	Public Comment or					
Name	Agency Comment or Planning Commission	Comment Summary	How Submitted	When Submitted	Change Made?	Reasoning/Action Taken
Holly Towle	Truming commission	Edits suggested to 17.05A.110.A.c.3 for the protection of private facilities utilizing	110W Submitted	When submitted	No change	Primary structures already allowed to utilize stabilization
Holly Towle		stabilization Edits suggested to definition of Adverse impact or effect, to add term, "materially"			No change	Not substantively necessary
Holly Towle		Concerns about language in 17.05A.090.E.1.a.v and vi regarding structures not requiring shoreline stabilization for the life of the single-family residence, typically 100 years			No change	This is only required for development proposed within the buffer or setback. Where no feasible alternative exists to gain reasonable economic use of the property, a shoreline variance can be applied for.
Holly Towle		Concern that 17.05A.130.K omits language from the RCW			Change proposed	The following language was added to the moratorium procedures in 17.05A.130.K "Provide that all lawfully existing uses, structures, or other development shall continue to be deemed lawful conforming uses and may continue to be maintained, repaired, and redeveloped, so long as the use is not expanded, under the terms of the land use and shoreline rules and regulations in place at the time of the moratorium."
Holly Towle		Concern that 17.05A.090.E.1.b.i and ii has a typo regarding 30 inches versus 30 feet.			No change	30 inches is correct.
Kathryn Hodges & Emily Haigen (Puget Sound Energy)	Agency	PSE asked for clarification of ICC 17.05A.080 Table 1. Noted that replacement includes normal maintenance and repair, and that there is a contradiction between ICC 17.05A.070 and 17.05A.130.	Email and Public Comment Hearing	4/12/2021 - email 4/12/2021 - Public Comment Hearing	Change proposed	Footnote 20 added to Table 1 in 17.05A.080, "Proposals which meet the definition of repair per ICC 17.05A.070 only". Footnote 20 added to a P for permitted for above and below gorund transimission facilities in environments where currently listed as a conditional use. Defintion of repair changed to match 17.05A.130.E.2.d.ii
Commissioner Gilroy	Planning Commissioner	Has questions about what the baseline for defining "No Net Loss" is. Is also concerned about the timeline for the periodic review, and the comments concerning Admiral's Lagoon. Had a question about mapping Historic Beach Communities.		4/12/2021	No change	No net loss guide from Ecology provided to Planning Commission for 4/26/21 meeting. Staff met with members of the Admirals Cove association and clarified that because the Admirals Cove lake has measured levels of salinity, it will be designated as Aquatic under the SMP update. Properties within 200ft of the orinary high water mark of the lake, will be designated Shoreline Residential. Motorized boating is not considered a passive recreational activity in regulated wetlands. Admirals lake is a regulated wetland. All Historic Beach Communities are being mapped under the existing criteria in the code. This will help to apply the regulations consistently and target those communities for outreach and education on sea level rise.
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Would like a clearer outline of what comments have been incorporated into the code updates, and what hasn't been incorporated. Would like Code Enforcement in the shoreline to be outlined more clearly. Had a question about Public Outreach.	Email and Public Comment Hearing	4/12/2021	No change	This matrix will serve to document the public comments that have been addressed. Code enforcement issues are handled through the same process in shoreline as the rest of the County. Code enforcement can be suggested as a work plan item for next year.
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Suggested adding definition of accessory structure because term is found in the code.	Email and Public Comment Hearing		Change proposed	Definition of accessory use or building added from the zoning code ICC 17.03.040.
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Edits to definition of boat suggested, to include paddeled vessels	Email and Public Comment Hearing		Change proposed	Term, "paddles" added to definition of boat in 17.05A.070
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Edits to definition of Buoy or Float to add "recreational buoys/floats for crab fishing"	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No Change	Current definition covers buoys and floats that are anchored to the seafloor, WDFW already has detailed regulations governing floats for crab fishing. Would be difficult for Island County to enforce as well.
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Edits to definiton of dredging with regards to enhancement of natural resources with regards to canal communities	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No Change	Current definition states that dredging is for the purpose of deepening navigation channels, which is consstent with activity in canal communities.
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Clarify definiton of floating on-water residence	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No change	This definition was pulled from RCW 90.58.270(6)(b) in order to match with state statute
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Add definition of stormwater	Email and Public Comment Hearing		Change proposed	Definition of stormwater added from APA Dictionary
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Should sources identifying geologically hazardous areas be referenced in 17.05A.090.E.a.iv?	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No change	No change because if reference to sources is added, then the argument that a geologically hazardous area wasn't identified could be used in theory to disregard this section of code. It is ultimately the property owner's responsibility to doscover if they are in a geologically hazardous area.
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Should accessory structures be added to 17.05A.090.E.1.e.ii?	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No change	The intent of the code is to allow replacement of existing primary residential structures, and not accessory structures within the buffer/setback
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Questions about presence of Table 2 in 17.05A.090.F	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No Change	Draft figure is at end of chapter, but not yet labeled "Figure 2"
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Questions about adding motitoring requirements to 17.05A.090.G.1.d.ii	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No change	The situations where monitoring is required are already outlined at the beginning of 090 and in the critical areas ordinance.

	Public Comment or					
Namo	Agency Comment or Planning Commission	Comment Summany	How Submitted	When Submitted	Change Made?	Personing / Action Taken
Name Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Comment Summary Comment about 17.05A.090.H.8 and stormwater contradiciton with WAC	Email and Public Comment Hearing	When Submitted	No change	Reasoning/Action Taken Added stormwater definition which may help clarify. Staff discussion is needed on this topic.
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Would like to prohibit special events on docks in 17.05A.100.D	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No change	Events are regulated under ICC 17.03.180.EE
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Would like to prohibit special events in marinas in 17.05A.100.E	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No change	Events are regulated under ICC 17.03.180.EE
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Would like to clarify permitting requirements and penalties in 17.05A.130	Email and Public Comment Hearing		No change	This topic requires BOCC input
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Would like to add fencing and landscaping to definitions, and clarify that trees are not appropriate for fencing	Email and Public Comment Hearing	4/19/2021	Change Proposed	Provision added to ICC 17.05A.090.D.13
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Landscaping as applied to shoreline areas definition	Email and Public Comment Hearing		Change proposed	Provision added to ICC 17.05A.090.D.13
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Would like to add that neighboring property sight lines may not be blocked to the 30 inch structure allowance in 17.05A.090.E	Email and Public Comment Hearing		Change Proposed	Provision added to ICC 17.05A.090.D.13
Commissioner Schwalbe	Planning Commissioner	Regulations for septic within the shoreline?	Public Comment Hearing	4/12/2021	No change	Septics require shoreline permits.
Commissioner Hand	Planning Commissioner	Commented about code enforcement and signs being on the Docket/Work Plan for next year.	Public Comment Hearing	4/12/2021	No change	Discussions for next year's Docket/Work Plan will begin in August/September
Jeanne Congdon	Public	Comments concerned with landscaping within the shoreline buffer and setback	Email	4/12/2021	Change Proposed	Provision added to ICC 17.05A.090.D.13
Betsy Harris	Public	Comments expressing concern about the SMP Update SEPA Environmental Checklist not addressing certain critical areas questions due to being considered a "non-project proposal".	Email	4/12/2021	No change	Supplemetal sheet was included in SEPA Checklist and routed to applicable agencies for review. EIS was already completed for Island County Comprehensive Plan, this non-project action amends one element. Environmental impacts will be assessed individually for each project that occurs under the Shoreline Master Program.
Ron Swenson	Public	Comment expressing concern that Goss Lake is inappropriately mapped as Rural Conservancy	Email	4/11/2021	No change	Review of prior inventory report for Goss Lake inconclusive. May fit the criteria for Shoreline Residential, need to discuss further.
Larry Kwarsick	Public	Comment concerning nonconforming structures in the shoreline environment	Email	4/2/2021	No change	Currently the buffer is described as a three dimensional space, and so any expansion within triggers a variance
Mike Tenore	Public	Comment expressing support for Admirals Lake being designated Natural shoreline environment	Email	4/2/2021	No Change	Currently no plans to redesignate Admirals Lagoon
Joel Douglas	Public	Comment expressing that current body of regulations is far in excess of what is needed	Email	3/24/2021	No Change	Concern noted, but Island County must comply with State and Federal laws protecting the shoreline
Michael Bianchi	Agency	Comment pointing out that NAS Whidbey is exempted from SMP oversight	Email	4/7/2021	Change Proposed	Language changed per Ecology guidance
Bob Payton	Public	Comment in favor of protecting Admiral's Lake	Email	4/7/2021	No change	Admirals Lagoon slated to be redesignated Aquatic
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Question about the definition of "dredging", and whether an activity needs to be for the purposes of navigation or obtaining fill to be considered "dredging", or if cleaning culverts, outfalls, etc. fit.	Email	4/13/2021	Change proposed	Added clarification to dredging definition
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Comment suggesting that the definition for "outfall" be added, as Public Works does outfall work on a regular basis	Email	4/14/2021	Change proposed	Added definition for "outfall"
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Comment suggesting that the definiton of "public infrastructure" be added	Email	4/15/2021	Change proposed	Added definition of "infrastructure"

	Public Comment or Agency Comment or		Harris II.	WIL TO LETTE	al	
Name	Planning Commission	Comment Summary	How Submitted	When Submitted	Change Made?	Reasoning/Action Taken
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Suggestion to add a section for transportation and stormwater to 17.05A.080, Table 1. Outfalls, culverts, road maintenance, etc	Email	4/16/2021	No change	Items already listed in ICC 17.05A.080. Footnote 20 added to bridges and culverts, and existing vehicluar routes to allow repair as a permitted use
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Comment asking about Biological Site Assessment requirement waivers in 17.05A.090.C.14.d, and adding normal repair and maintenance of transportation and stormwater facilities, parks, and boat ramps.	Email	4/17/2021	Change proposed	Added the normal repair and maintenance of public infrastructure
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Consider adding stormwater outfalls to development permitted within the shoreline buffer in 17.05A.090.E.1.c	Email	4/18/2021	Change proposed	Added stormwater outfalls and culverts
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Consider adding provisions for maintenance of boat ramps be included in 17.05A.100.E.2	Email	4/19/2021	No change	Section (vi) already states that public and community boat launches shall be "developed and maintained to support waterfront access for watercraft"
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Comment noting that 17.05A.110.A.3.c is one of the few instances where public transportation infrastructure is explicitly mentioned	Email	4/20/2021	No change	Added infrastructure definition.
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Comment asking about adding public infrastructure to exceptions in 17.05A.110.A.3.c	Email	4/21/2021	No change	Addressed in 110.A.3.c
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Comment suggestion changing name of Shoreline Exemption in 17.05A.130.E.2.e	Email	4/22/2021	No change	No plans to rename "Shoreline Exemption". These permits provide a means to review activities that are exempt from a Substantial Development Permit.
Matt Nash - Island County Public Works	Agency	Comment asking whether minor repair and maintenance of parks, roads, and drainage facilities should be added to 17.05A.130.E.2.E.iii	Email	4/23/2021	No change	Staff will discuss this proposed change. Current language is codifying an official department policy
Comments Received Outside	of Public Comment Period			•	•	
Bob Wilbur	Public	Comment clarifying Admiral's Cove Committee stance on Ed Delahanty's comments	Email	3/22/2021	No change	Admirals Lagoon changing from Natural to Aquatic. Staff met with community members to discuss.
Heide Island	Public	Comment concurring with Sarah Blake's comments	Email	3/22/2021	No change	Admirals Lagoon changing from Natural to Aquatic. Staff met with community members to discuss.
Ed Delahanty	Public	Comment about Sarah Blake's comments	Email	3/22/2021	No change	Admirals Lagoon changing from Natural to Aquatic. Staff met with community members to discuss.
Sarah Blake	Public	Comment in support of Natural Shoreline Environment Designation of Admiral's Lagoon.	Email	3/22/2021	No change	Admirals Lagoon changing from Natural to Aquatic. Staff met with community members to discuss.
Ed Delahanty	Public	Comment challenging the Natural Shoreline Environment Designation of Admiral's Cove Lagoon, and its inclusion in the Shoreline Master program	Email	3/22/2021	No change	Admirals Lagoon changing from Natural to Aquatic. Staff met with community members to discuss.
Solenne Walker - WA Dept of Natural Resources	Agency	Comment stating that WADNRs' comment focus is on mooring buoys, and outlining the three supporting documents and the comments table that DNR is submitting for Island County's reference.	Email	9/22/2020	No Change	No code change necessary.
Solenne Walker - WA Dept of Natural Resources	Agency	Comment suggesting ameding definition of "buoy" in 17.05A.070	Email	9/23/2020	Change proposed	Buoy definition changed as recommended
Solenne Walker - WA Dept of Natural Resources	Agency	Comment stating that Shoreline Use Classification Table 1 be amended because while buoys are permitted in the Aquatic SED, per the SMP Handbook they are also subject to regulation under the adjoining upland SED	Email	9/24/2020	No change	No change in process suggested at this time based on discussions with PC and BOCC based on available resources
Solenne Walker - WA Dept of Natural Resources	Agency	17.05A.090.C.13 - Comment stating that in order to ensure no net loss, mooring buoys should receive an SMP review to determine whether they are within 10 feet of eelgrass or kelp beds	Email	9/25/2020	No change	No change in process suggested at this time based on discussions with PC and BOCC based on available resources
Solenne Walker - WA Dept of Natural Resources	Agency	Comment concerning 17.05A.100.D.4, stating that while buoys are often exempt from substantial development permits in many jurisdictions, that doesn't mean they are exempt from a shoreline management review.	Email	9/26/2020	No change	No change in process suggested at this time based on discussions with PC and BOCC based on available resources
Solenne Walker - WA Dept of Natural Resources	Agency	Suggestion that Island County should require a Shoreline Exemption Permit for mooring buoys	Email	9/27/2020	No change	No change in process suggested at this time based on discussions with PC and BOCC based on available resources

Name	Public Comment or Agency Comment or Planning Commission	Comment Summary	How Submitted	When Submitted	Change Made?	Reasoning/Action Taken
Commissioner Andersen	Planning Commissioner	Comment suggesting that the SMP inclde language limiting the height of landscaping improvements, and protecting the intentional obstruction of neighbor's views.	Email			Provision added to ICC 17.05A.090.D.13
Commissioner Criswell	Planning Commissioner	Comment in support of Commissioner Andersen's 5/11/2021 Comment	Email	5/11/2021	Change proposed	Provision added to ICC 17.05A.090.D.13